

**To:** McComb, Martin[McComb.Martin@epa.gov]  
**From:** Wagner, Christine  
**Sent:** Thur 8/13/2015 10:27:12 PM  
**Subject:** FW: R9 Surface Water Data for EPA Website

Hi Marty

As we discussed about not including RSLs, see Dana's message below. Can you help direct this?

Thank you  
Chris

**From:** Tulis, Dana  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 13, 2015 6:23 PM  
**To:** Wagner, Christine  
**Cc:** Smith, Terry; McKean, Deborah; Harrison, Melissa; Hiatt, Gerald; Ruhl, Christopher  
**Subject:** Re: R9 Surface Water Data for EPA Website

Folks, I have not seen below, why are we comparing to MCL's, it should be pre-incident conditions.

Dana S. Tulis

Deputy Office Director

Office of Emergency Management

US EPA

202-564-7938

On Aug 13, 2015, at 6:16 PM, "Wagner, Christine" <[Wagner.Christine@epa.gov](mailto:Wagner.Christine@epa.gov)> wrote:

All,

This morning the R6 data was sent to HQ in a excel spreadsheet which contained R6, R8, and R9 data. R9 has developed the attached message that they would like to publish with the R9 surface water data. I have separated out the R9 data from the original file sent this morning.

The R9 data has already been reviewed by Deb and HQ (as part of the larger package). The two files attached are

Surface water data R9 only

Message from R9 to accompany the data

Please process for publication to the EPA Gold King Mine Response Website.

Thank you

Chris

Area Command Environmental Unit

*Chris Wagner, OSC*

*EPA Region III*

*804-337-3049*

*wagner.christine@epa.gov*

<Data Sum\_R9 150812.docx>

<R9-Surface-water-data.xlsx>